Finance - Summary

For each contributing organisation, please list any spending on BCF schemes in 2014/15 and the minimum and actual contributions to the Better Care Fund pooled budget in 2015/16.

Organisation	Holds the pooled budget (for 2014/15)? (Y/N)	Spending on BCF schemes in 14/15** £'000	Minimum contribution (15/16) £'000	Actual contribution (15/16) '£000
Surrey County Council*	Υ	18,309	5,327	5,327
NHS East Surrey CCG	N		9,397	9,397
NHS Guildford & Waverley	N		11,246	11,246
NHS North West Surrey CCG	N		19,808	19,808
NHS Surrey Heath CCG	N		5,501	5,501
NHS Surrey Downs CCG	N		16,398	16,398
NHS North East Hampshire and Farnham CCG	N		2,609	2,609
Windsor, Ascot and Maidenhead CCG	N		532	532
BCF Total		18,309	70,818	70,818

^{*} Assumes SCC will be fundholder for all BCF projects in 2014-15. 2015-16 SCC allocation is indicative for both the PSS capital Allocations and DFG.

Approximately 25% of the BCF is paid for improving outcomes. If the planned improvements are not achieved, some of this funding may need to be used to alleviate the pressure on other services. Please outline your plan for maintaining services if planned improvements are not achieved.

Rigorous procedures will be put in place to track the metrics leading to benefits and the associated spending trends. If there are signs that targets will not be achieved, reprioritisation will occur in year

Contingency plan:		2015/16 £m	Ongoing
Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential and nursing care	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)	25	25
homes, per 100,000 population	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)	25	25
Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)	9	9
hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)	9	9
Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population (average per month)	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)	10	10
(average per monur)	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)	10	10
Avoidable emergency admissions (composite measure)	Planned savings (if targets fully achieved)	20	19
	Maximum support needed for other services (if targets not achieved)	20	19

DRAFT \$1xzd3qmm.xlsx

BCF Planning Template Finance - Schemes

Please list the individual schemes on which you plan to spend the Better Care Fund, including any investment in 2014/15. Please expand the table if necessary.

BCF nvestment	Title	Lead provider	2014/15 spend		2014/15 benefits*		2015/16 spend		2015/16 benefits	s**
			Recurrent	Non- recurrent	Recurrent	Non- recurrent	Recurrent	Non- recurrent	Recurrent	Non- recurren
	New responsibilities under the Care Bill (Revenue)	SCC	C				2,563,000			0
	Capital Projects (including Disabled Facilities Grant)	SCC/Districts	C		0 (5,327,378		0	
	Project Support	SCC	C	1			500,000	(0
	Benefits to Health System				90,279	9			323,00	י י
	Protection for Adult Social Care Small Projects (up to 10% of BCF)		437.773		33,000) (2.294.032		207,00)
	Small Projects (up to 10% of BCF)		437,773		0 123,279	9 (0 530,00	0
			431,113		0 123,273		10,664,410		0 530,00	'
301	Optimising use of Acute Care Setting for Urgent Care through Pathway Redesign and Integrated Working		1,824,391				3,394,878			1
302	Transforming Care and Care Settings through Pathway Redesign		410,050				612,050			
303	Parity of Esteem		133,212				178,712			
604	Promoting and Enhancing Quality of Life Through Self Management and Innovation		504,328				504,328			
305	Ensuring Delivery Through Appropriate Enablers		C				0			
	Benefits to Health System				1,542,281				5,518,00)
S Total	Protection for Adult Social Care		2 074 004		0 574,000 0 2,116,28 °		0 4,689,968		0 3,588,00 0 9.106.00	ار
Oldi			2,871,981		0 2,116,28	1			9,106,00	
W01	Primary Care Plus	Virgincare	700,000		0	(1,200,000	(0	
N02	Rapid Response	Virgincare	377,000		0	(6,000,000	(0	1
N03	Telecare	Medivo	608,000		0		608,000		0	1
W04	Virtual Wards	Virgincare	548.000		0		548,000		0	+
N05	Social Care / Reablement / Carers	Surrey County Council	684,000		0		1,816,000		0	+
W05 W06	Mental Health	SABP	423,000		0		423,000		0	+
N07	Other	Surrey County Council	298,000		0		0 651,000		0	+
7007		Surrey County Council	230,000	'	1,850,570		001,000	,	6.621.00	0
	Benefits to Health System		C				0		, ,	
	Protection for Adult Social Care						-			
N Total			3,638,000	1	0 2,536,570) (11,246,000	(0 10,909,00)
001	An enhanced, developed primary care service operating in networks of practices		1,000,000				3,902,000 1,446,000			-
002	Ensure improved patient experience and outcomes within the continuing care assessment process through An Urgent Care and Discharge System that works to enable people to return home earlier in their recovery pathway		776.000				6.007.000			+
	Facilitate rapid discharge for those people with high risk of hospitalisation through a more responsive and effective		2,398,000				3,570,000			-
004	Intermediate Care/Reablement teams.		2,550,000				3,370,000			
005	Integrated services to reduce admission (Enhanced Case Management)		508,000				1,473,000			1
	Benefits to Health System		,		2,765,094	1	.,		9,893,00	ว
	Protection for Adult Social Care				1,002,000	ס			6,261,00	J
) Total			5,020,000	<u> </u>	0 3,767,094	4 (16,398,000	(0 16,154,00	ر ا
H01	Admission Advoidance		101,000		20,000	1	3,260,000			+
102	Rapid Discharge		180,000		20,000	1	450,000			+
103	Nursing/residential home support		760,000				890,000			+
104	Rehabilitation and Re- ablement		400,000				930,000			
105	Enabling services/structures		C							1
	Benefits to Health System				913,406	3			3,268,00	o l
	Protection for Adult Social Care				336,000				2,100,00	
l Total			1,441,000		0 1,269,406		5,530,000	(5,368,00	
			20.555				00.000			+
EHF01	Carers		80,000				80,000			
EHF02	Reablement		150,000				150,000			
HF03	Rehab Intergrated Pathway		100,000				100,000			
HF04	Mental Health		90,000				90,000			+
			50.000				50,000			+
EHF05	Virtual Ward		,			1				
EHF06	Fraility Integrated Pathway (including elements for CHC, equipment and social capital)		130,000				2,000,000			
·	Benefits to Health System				425,679	9			1,523,00	נ
	Protection for Adult Social Care				159,000)			993,00	o o
FH Total	r receipt for reach Could Guid		600,000		0 584,679		2,470,000	. (0 2,516,00	
V01	Integrated Frailty Pathway (incorporating end of life)		1,000,000				6,000,000			
N02	Integrated Urgent Care Pathway		1,400,000				4,800,000			
N03	Families, Friends and Communities (Including Carers)		1,900,000				4,000,000			
N04	Integrated Primary Care						5,000,000			
	Benefits to Health System				3,317,945		1		11,871,00	
	Protection for Adult Social Care				1,210,000		0		7,563,00	
V Total			4,300,000		0 4,527,94	5 (19,800,000	(0 19,434,00	s

BCF Planning Template

Grand Total		18,308,754	0	14,925,252	0	70,818,378	0	64,017,000	0
	* Plans for 2014/15 incorporate ongoing projects from 2013/14: it is expected that these will generate benefits for health and								
	for social care, but the detail behind that is yet to be finalised.								
	** 'Work is ongoing to generate the analysis of scheme-by-scheme benefits which will deliver the overall aspiration. This may								
	lead to some adjustment to the investment proposals, including the possibility of setting aside some funding as necessary in								
	order to ensure the £25m of additional benefit to protect social care spending								

18308754 70818378 0

 Health Benefit
 10,905,252
 39,017,000

 ASC Protection
 4,000,000
 25,000,000

 64,017,000
 64,017,000

64,017,000

0.609478732

BCF Planning Template Outcomes & Metrics

Outcomes and metrics

For each metric other than patient experience, please provide details of the expected outcomes and benefits of the scheme and how these will be measured.

A joint metrics group has been established with membership from Surrey County Council and each Surrey CCG. The group will provide the necessary metric support to the local BCF coordination groups and report to the Surrey BCF Board.

Metric 1 Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential and nursing care homes per 100,000. This metric will enable us to measure our success at identifying those at risk of incurring high health care costs, providing coordinated care to prevent people reaching crisis and our ability to provide 7 day coordinated care to enabled people to stay supported in their own homes for as long as possible, including timely discharge from hospital. Achieving success in this objective will have financial benefits as overall costs to health and social care will be lower. Current performance for this indicator in Surrey is good. Surrey in the 2nd best quintile nationally and ranked 38th lowest out of 152 local authorities for performance against this metric. Due to the uncertainty around effects of the Care Bill and to the increasing proportion of the ageing population, Surrey is seeking to maintain its current position. Currently for 2013/14, the figure is projected to be 1,177. This metric is measured using social care data sets.

Outcome: Increased proportion of people with complex and long term health and social care needs receiving planned and coordinated care in, or close to home.

Metric 2: Proportion of older people who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into re-ablement / rehabilitation services. This metric will enable us to measure our success at providing timely, high quality reablement services that are integrated with wider support services for people who have been admitted to hospital thus enabling people to stay at home for as long as possible. Surrey is in the lowest performing quintile nationally for this metric. This may be partly explained by under-reporting. In 2012/13 figures were under reported by approx 55%, which was due to recording issues around the first year submission of this data set.

Outcome: Ongoing sustained level of independence and recovery for people with long term health and care needs.

Metric 3: Delayed transfers of care from hospital

This metric will enable us to track progress on improving the interface between acute and community health and social care organisations. Surrey is currently a poor performer and is in the 4th quintile nationally (quintile 5 is the poorest performer). The Surrey BC board agreed that the statistically significant target of a 3.2% reduction of delayed transfers of care by June 2015 was not stretching enough so are aiming for a 14% in the current value which will place Surrey in quintile 3.

Outcome: More individuals have their health and social care needs met in the most appropriate setting.

BCF Planning Template Outcomes & Metrics

Metric 4: Avoidable emergency admissions

Emergency admissions can be clinically unnecessary, destabilising for the patient and costly to the system. By providing coordinated care in the community we hope to reduce the likelihood of conditions escalating so patients require admission and improve our capacity to provide appropriate care out of the hospital setting. Surrey currently has a low rate of avoidable emergency admissions and is aiming for a statistically significant improvement of 4.4% reduction by March 2015. We are aware that the data needs to be seasonally adjusted. The initial baseline (Oct 2012-Sept 2013) is based on 12 months of data, whereas the targets are based on 2 6 month data periods: April 2014-September 2014 and Oct 2014- March 2014.

Outcome: Increased proportion of people with complex and long term health and social care needs receiving planned and coordinated care in, or close to home.

Metric 5: Patient / service user experience

We are awaiting national guidance for this metric, however we anticipate being able to quantify the parts of the health and social care system where satisfaction is not high and requires improvement.

Outcome: Improved satisfaction with health and social care services

Metric 6: Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia.

This local measure has been chosen as it has a clear demonstrable link to the Health and Wellbeing strategy. Earlier diagnosis can mean appropriate treatment can be established for the patient and plans can be made with carers before the condition escalates. The Surrey BCF Board is aiming for the national target of a 66.7% diagnosis rate by 2015/16. Data is available from the dementia prevalance calculator which gives the expected number of patients who have dementia. The calculator requires the QOF data for the actual count of dementia registered patients.

Outcome: More individuals with dementia have a prompt diagnosis and proactive care planning is in place.

For the patient experience metric, either existing or newly developed local metrics or a national metric (currently under development) can be used for October 2015 payment. Please see the technical guidance for further detail. If you are using a local metric please provide details of the expected outcomes and benefits and how these will be measured, and include the relevant details in the table below

Surrey has agreed to use the national metric which is currently under development.

For each metric, please provide details of the assurance process underpinning the agreement of the performance plans

The assurance process is the same for all the metrics. We are using five nationaly defined metrics and one locally defined metric (metric 6: dementia). The performance has been calculated by ananlyisng: historic trends, performance against comparator local authorities and nationally. This work is coordinated by a BCF metrics and finance group which reports to the Surrey BCF Board. It has representation from Surrey County Council and each CCG in Surrey.

If planning is being undertaken at multiple HWB level please include details of which HWBs this covers and submit a separate version of the metric template both for each HWB and for the multiple-HWB combined

Surrey is planning with the Surrey Health and Wellbeing Board only and will thus submit a single Surrey-wide version of the metric template.

Metrics		Current Baseline (as at)	Performance underpinning April 2015 payment	Performance underpinning October 2015 payment
Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential	and Metric Value	568.2		568.2
nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	Numerator	1,155	N/A	1,221
	Denominator	203,275	N/A	214,918

DRAFT \$1xzd3qmm.xlsx

BCF Planning Template Outcomes & Metrics

		(April 2012 - March 2013)		(April 2014 - March 2015)
Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days	Metric Value	72		77.1
after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	Numerator	225		
and alcoholige from hoopital line reasionient, renasintation convices			N/A	243
	Denominator	315		315
		(April 2012 - March 2013)		(April 2014 - March 2015)
Delayed transfers of care from hospital per 100,000 population (average per	Metric Value	258.0	240	222
month)	Numerator	21,054	19,782	23,323
	Denominator	906,631	915,816	925,149
		(Apr 2013 - Dec 2013)	(April - December 2014)	(January - June 2015)
Avoidable emergency admissions (composite measure)	Metric Value	123.4	121.0	118.0
	Numerator	17,178	8,513	8,393
	Denominator	1,159,940	1,172,608	1,185,259
		(Oct 2012 - Sep 2013)	(April - September 2014)	(October 2014 - March 2015)
Patient / service user experience [National metric (under development) is to be used]			N/A	
Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia (NHS OF 2.6i)	Metric Value	43.9%	49.0%	55.0%
	Numerator	6,872	8,020	9,186
	Denominator	15,669	· ·	
		(April 2011 - Mar 2012)	(Apr 2014 - Sep 2014)	(Apr 2014 - Mar 2015)

DRAFT \$1xzd3qmm.xlsx